Cemagref

Environmental science and technology research institute
Cemagref

Public research institute (EPST)

- **9 centres** + 2 branches (Strasbourg and Martinique)
- Workforce of **1400** including **500 scientists**, **200** doctorate and **40** post-doctorate students
- **110 M€** budget including **79 M€** Core Budget and **31 M€** contracts (2010)

Midwest Manure Summit – Green Bay – February 2011
Competences

Land, water and environmental technologies
Fields directly related to the needs of Society

Scientific and technical support for public policy in the form of research, science advice, models and operational tools

An engineering approach that includes multi-disciplinary components
Organisation

3 departments

- **Water**
  Resources, environments, uses and risks

- **Environmental technologies**
  Networks, water treatment, waste

- **Land**
  Territorial development, biodiversity, risks and vulnerabilities

12 research themes
International partnerships (out of Europe)

**Canada**
- Laval University, INRS,
- University of British Columbia,
- Mc Gill Univ.,
- Ministry of Natural Resources, IRDA

**US**
- USGS, MIT,
- UC Berkeley,
- UC Davis,
- USDA-ARS

**Brazil**
- Sao Paulo University, Brasilia,
- University, EMBRAPA,
- National Water Agency (ANA)

**South Africa**
- Cape Town University, IWMI

**Australia**
- ANU, CSIRO, USYD,
- UTS, UNSW, Univ. of Western Australia,
- Monash Univ., Newcastle Univ.

**New Zealand**
- NIWA, Landcare Research

**Mediterranean area**
- IAV Hassan II Marocco,
- INRGREF Tunisia
Cemagref organisation

Environmental technologies
Networks, water treatment, waste

- Technologies for sustainable agricultural systems
- Technologies for clean, energy-efficient processes
- Water and waste technologies and processes
- Integration, modelling and environmental evaluation
A set of technological platforms

1.5 T Agro&Process MRI in Rennes

Test facility for irrigation systems in Aix

Tractor test track and truck equipped with dynamometric apparatus in Antony

Low-speed aeraulic platform in Rennes

Remote sensing in Montpellier

L’Esturial in Bordeaux

Test facility for manure spreading in Clermont

Computer cluster in Clermont-Ferrand

Reduction of pesticide pollutions in Montpellier
Cemagref in Rennes

- 60 permanent staffs
- 20 PhD students
- 2 research units
  - Food product quality
  - Environmental management and biological treatment of wastes (GERE)
Cemagref and livestock effluents

Static chamber

Wind tunnel

Labo mobile

Floating chamber

In line or single analysis $N_2O$, $NH_3$, $CO_2$, $CH_4$
In situ measurement of greenhouse gas emission
In situ measurement of treatment plants performances
Pilot experiments

Biological pilot treatment plant used for studying nitrogen removal.

Equipment to measure ammonia emissions in controlled conditions.
Pilot experiments

Combined anaerobic digestion + aerobic nitrogen removal
Laboratory test to assess methanogenic potential from animal wastes

1- Pressure is measured to calculate the biogas amount

2- Headspace is analysed for CO2/CH4 ratio
Some others lab equipments....

- COD
- Gas chromatograph
- HPLC (VFA, Sugars, Lipids...)
- TKN
- Microscopy
European perspectives on technical and economical approaches to phosphorus recycling
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  – What is the problem in Europe?
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  – P from Cattle manure
  – P from Poultry manure
  – P from Pig manure

Phosphorus Recycling from liquid effluents
  – Current techniques
  – Developing techniques

Conclusions
Context: Why recycling P is important?

Phosphorus is a limited resource:
- Available for the next 100–400 years depending on the technical and economical criteria for the extraction.

Phosphorus is contributing to surface water eutrophication.

Environmental impact:
Wildlife (fauna and flora) disturbances

Economical consequences:
- Drinkable water (less authorized resources and more expensive to purify)
- Leisure use compromised
- Fish farming impossible

Photo: http://eauxpluviales.wordpress.com/2008/02/10/introduction/
Context: What is the problem in Europe?

Livestock concentration

Solution = Transfer?

Source JRC-IES

Figure 4.27 European map of phosphorus manure input per agricultural area in EU15, average on 10 km² area. (In Sweden and Finland the white colour indicates the absence of agricultural land within the 10 km² area).

Figure 4.19 European map of phosphorus mineral fertiliser input per agricultural area in EU15, average on 10 km² area. (In Sweden and Finland the white colour indicates the absence of agricultural land within the 10 km² area).
Most of the phosphorus is from bovine and other grazing animals.
Phosphorus in animal manure: Cattle

Example for France (comparable to UK)

Bovine and grazing animals are spread over all the territory:
- Manure is already used locally

Grazing is still significant, bovine farms have usually enough land:
- No or low surplus at the farm level

Excepted in Netherlands

In the current context P from cattle manure is not an issue excepted in some cases
But it can become if bands are increasing without increasing the surfaces.
Phosphorus in animal manure: Cattle

Long term effect (NTK/P)

- Deep litter
- Yard scraping
- Composted manure
- Undiluted liquid manure
- Diluted liquid manure
- Calves slurry
- Dirty water

Short term effect (Namm./P)

- Deep litter
- Yard scraping
- Composted manure
- Undiluted liquid manure
- Diluted liquid manure
- Calves slurry
- Dirty water

Bovine manures are quite well balanced considering long term effect (mineralization of organic nitrogen)

P surplus in solid manures
No surplus at farm level:
- Complementary mineral N

P surplus in liquid manures
- Recycling by extraction

P surplus in manure
- Exportation of the manure
The proportion of manure from housed cattle produced as liquid on a country by country basis (Burton and Turner, 2003).
Phosphorus in animal manure: poultry

Example for France (comparable to other countries)

Poultry are in defined areas

Poultry farms have usually not enough land:
- High surplus at the farm level

Source: AGRESTE-Statistique agricole annuelle 1995
Mise en page: Cemagref GERE Labo SIG
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Phosphorus in animal manure: poultry

Long term effect (NTK/P)

Short term effect (Namm./P)

Poultry manures are not balanced

P surplus in solid manures
  • High dry matter content
  • Low bedding material
  • Heat available
  Easy to dry and to export

P surplus in liquid manures
  • Recycling by extraction (see below)
Phosphorus in animal manure: poultry

- Other solution developed for solids
  - Combustion (in UK mainly): 3 units
  - The biggest one:
    - 400,000 ton dry solid poultry manure
    - 800-850°C
    - Electricity produced 38.5 MW
    - Supported with subsidies from a governmental program on non-fossil fuel electricity generation.
    - Ashes used as K and P fertilizer
Phosphorus in animal manure: pigs

Example for France (comparable to other countries)

Pigs are in defined areas

Pigs farms have usually not enough land:

- High surplus at the farm level
Phosphorus in animal manure: pigs

Long term effect (NTK/P)  
Short term effect (Namm./P)

Pigs slurries are not balanced
- P surplus in liquid (slurries)
  - Recycling (see below)
Phosphorus in animal manure: pigs

Main pig farmers are producing slurries (liquid manure)

The proportion of manure from housed pigs produced as liquid on a country by country basis (Burton and Turner, 2003).

Liquid manure

Extraction

Figure 4.4 Estimated phosphorus manure production (ton P) distributed for different animal types for EU15 countries.
Phosphorus in animal manure: conclusion

- Most of P from animal is coming from cattle in Europe but
  - Cattle are spread over all the territory
  - In cattle farms, P surplus are low. Solids are in concern.
    - Local application complemented by mineral N fertilization when required.
    - Exportation of solid manure on short distances because of volume (bedding material) and dry matter

- Less than 10% of P is coming from poultry in Europe but
  - Poultry are produced in specific areas
  - In poultry farms, P surplus are high, solids are in concern
    - Exportation after drying is developed – medium distances – high dry matter, low bedding materials
    - Exportation of ashes after combustion (ashes used as mineral fertilizer, UK mainly)

- Less than 10% of P is coming from pigs in Europe but
  - Pigs are produced in specific areas
  - In pigs farms, P surplus are high, liquids are in concern
    - Exportation after mechanical separation is developed – short distances – (low dry matter)
P recycling from liquid manure: current techniques

**History**

- **Raw slurry**
  - $N : 3-4 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$
  - $P : 1 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$

- **Spreading basis**: 170 kg organic N/ha/year

- **Nitrate directive 1991**

- **Biological treatment**
  - $N : 0.5-1 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$
  - $P : 0.8-1 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$

- **43-57 kg P/ha**
  - Low surplus

- **140-340 kg P/ha**
  - High surplus

- **N and P have to be removed in the same ratio!**
Recycling P from liquid manure: current techniques

• P in liquid manure is as small and dense particles (calcium P or magnesium P)
  – Developed at a large scale only for pig slurry and mainly in France, Belgium and Spain

Centrifuge decanter
Recycling P from liquid manure: current techniques

Performances of separation devices

Press-auger
Recycling P from liquid manure: current techniques

• Limits of mechanical separation
  – Post-treatment of solid required
  – Product outlet (short distances - 20km)
  – Competition with other organic fertilizers (composts from household wastes)

• Other techniques
  – Developed only at pilot scale
  – Too sophisticated to be manage at farm level in European context
  – Too expensive
Recycling P from liquid manure: Perspectives

Biologically treated pig slurry

acidification

separation

Sludge (OM)

Liquid (P)

precipitation

filtration

Liquid (K)

Mineral fertilizer Struvite (MgNH₄PO₄) + CaPO₄

Which acid?

- HCl
- H₂SO₄
- HNO₃
- Acetic
- Formic

Technical approach 1
Recycling P from liquid manure: Perspectives

Biologically treated pig slurry → acidification → separation → Sludge (OM)

Which technique?

Decantation
- 72h
- Sludge = 50%
- Liquid (P) = 50%

Draining + polymère
- <1h
- Sludge = 20%
- Liquid (P) = 80%

Technical approach 2

Mineral fertilizer
Struvite
(MgNH₄PO₄)
+ CaPO₄
Recycling P from liquid manure: Perspectives

Biologically treated pig slurry

- Acidification
- Separation
- Sludge (OM)
- Liquid (P)
- Precipitation
- Filtration
- Liquid (K)

Which reactant?
- MgCl₂ + NaOH
- MgO

Technical approach 3

Mineral fertilizer Struvite (MgNH₄PO₄) + CaPO₄
Recycling P from liquid manure: Perspectives

**Mineral fertiliser quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>g/kg</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>838±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>96±2</td>
<td>26%(P2O5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTK</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>142±26</td>
<td>24%(CaO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>70±10</td>
<td>14%(MgO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>50±4</td>
<td>7%(K2O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>17±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Normalized NPK (N-P2O5-K2O): 0-26-7 +24% of CaO +14% of MgO.
- In compliance French standard NF U42-001/A8 class II (> 18% of P2O5+K2O).
- Close to the limits for class III products (fertilizers supplying calcium and magnesium).
- The neutralizing value of the products was not analysed in the present study.
Recycling P from liquid manure: Perspectives

Economical approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount (kg/m³)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formic</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En.&amp; Maint.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economical approach
Recycling P from liquid manure: Perspectives
Economical approach

Total 12.3€/m³ of pig slurry treated

- Acid 66%
- MgO 12%
- Invests 11%
- Energy & maintenance 11%

Total €/m³ of pig slurry treated
Conclusions

• **Treatment point of view:**
  • cost > Centrifugation (2€/m³) but …
  • No further composting required
  • Product that can be sold

• **Fertilizing point of view (first approxim.):**

![Graph showing cost and product comparison](image-url)
Conclusions

• **Fertilizer point of view:**
  – Recycled P: 15€/kgP
  – Mineral P: 10 €/kgP (public price including transport)
  – In ten years?
  – Higher agronomic efficiency than current mineral P?

• **Ingoing works:**
  – Optimization
  – Full scale pilot for pig slurry and agro-food industries effluents (dissolution not required)
  – Assessment of fertilizer value
  – Environmental assessment of the process
Thank you for your attention

More questions?

marie-line.daumer@cemagref.fr